

Disturbance Estimation
And Cancellation
for
Linear Uncertain Systems

H. J. Kim

M. Ph.

2004

**DISTURBANCE ESTIMATION
AND CANCELLATION
FOR LINEAR UNCERTAIN SYSTEMS**

Hwi Jun KIM

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of
the University's requirement for the
degree of Master of Philosophy.

November 2004

**CONTROL THEORY AND APPLICATIONS CENTRE
COVENTRY UNIVERSITY**

Summary

This thesis was born on the boundary of the theory of control and a particular application, namely a smart structural system. More specifically, the primary motivation of this study comes from the following questions. Is it really possible to use smart structural system in a practical situation? What is the problem from the view point of the theory of control? In this work, it is assumed that one of the most important problems is that of robustness of the controlled system, and it is supposed that one of the solution would be to estimate uncertainty and disturbance without *a priori* knowledge in order to cancel their effect on system behaviour. This study provides a method, including its theoretical foundation, to estimate and cancel out any bounded disturbance and/or uncertainty.

One of the most important problems in control systems is the robustness of the controlled system. It is known that almost all physical systems, such as mechanical or structural system, contain some form of uncertainty. Even smart structural systems cannot escape from this problem. Such systems consist of host materials, sensing and actuating layers, which are attached or embedded to the host materials. The modelling of smart structural systems gives rise to infinite dimensional models if it were modelled by ordinary differential equations. In practice, however, a model is obtained by using the Finite Element Method, and, hence, a high order finite dimensional model is obtained. Such approximate models will inevitably generate uncertainty, representing unmodelled dynamics. In addition, such system models would suffer from parametric uncertainty and external disturbances. Thus, this type of system model would include many types of uncertainties.

In past decades, much research has been done using a deterministic approach for the robust control problem. The majority of this work assumes a known upper bound to uncertainty and disturbance, and robust controllers are determined deterministically. However, for smart structural systems, it may be difficult, or even impossible, to obtain such *a priori* knowledge of any disturbance. If this is the case, what can be said about the robustness of controlled system without *a priori* knowledge of any disturbance? Part of the answer to this question can be found in this thesis.

This thesis considers a linear uncertain system in which the uncertainty and/or disturbance is known to be bounded, but its bound is unknown. The main contribution is that an adaptive feedback control law is designed to estimate the bounded disturbance. The design of the adaptive control algorithm is novel and the adaptive control algorithm is easy to implement. This information can then be used to cancel the effect of the disturbance in the system. This has the advantage that, if further design objectives are to be realized, the controls can be designed based on the information from the known nominal model only

and not on the model with uncertainty.

This thesis is organized as follows. In Chapter 1, firstly, concept of stability of systems and deterministic approach of robust control are recalled. At the end of that chapter, it is implied that there is some limitation of that approach of robust control. In Chapter 2, motivated by the limitation discussed at Chapter 1, the method of disturbance estimation is introduced. Firstly, the statement of the problem is provided. It is followed by some preliminary works which are required for analysis. Then, for each class of systems, an adaptive algorithm, lemmas, theorem, and simulation examples are provided. The class of systems examined are second-order single-input linear systems, n^{th} -order single-input linear systems, and multi-input linear systems. In Chapter 3, based on the works of Chapter 2, some applications of the method of disturbance estimation are presented. In Section 3.2, an adaptive algorithm which guarantees robustness of a controlled system is presented. In Section 3.3, treatment of input uncertainty and unmodelled dynamics is discussed. In the following section, Section 3.4, it is shown that under appropriate assumptions, it is possible to treat residual disturbance by the method proposed. In Section 3.5, the method is extended so that the method can be used only by outputs of a system. At last, in Section 3.6, it is demonstrated that parameter variations can be extracted from estimated disturbances. In Chapter 4, conclusion remarks and suggestions for future works are provided.

First of all, the author would like to acknowledge his Director of Studies: Dr. David Goodall, in the presence of uncertainty with respect to this project. Without his continuous encouragement, support, and constructive discussions, this project would not exist. Also, the author acknowledges his second supervisor: Prof. Keith Burnham. Without his support, this project would not have been completed. Finally, the author would like to express his gratitude to his family for their continuous support.

Acknowledgments

1	Deterministic approach of robust control and Lyapunov stability
1.1	Introduction
1.2	Mathematical preliminaries
1.3	Stability of a system and Lyapunov function
1.3.1	Stability concepts
1.3.2	Lyapunov stability theorems
1.4	Deterministic robust controllers
1.5	Limitsation of deterministic approach
1.6	Conclusions
2	Disturbance estimation and cancellation -the basis-
2.1	Introduction
2.2	Problem statement
2.3	Preliminaries
2.3.1	Definition of the error system
2.3.2	Assumptions
2.4	Diagonalsation of error system
2.4.1	Adaptive algorithm
2.4.2	Main Result
2.4.3	Simulation example
2.5	n^{th} order single-input system
2.5.1	Main result
2.5.2	Simulation example
2.6	Multi-input system
2.6.1	Structure of the system and input matrices
2.6.2	Structure of a time-varying transformation matrix
2.6.3	Adaptive algorithm
2.6.4	Main result
2.6.5	Simulation example
2.7	Conclusions
3	Applications of disturbance estimation and cancellation
3.1	Introduction
3.2	Robustness of controlled system
3.2.1	An adaptive algorithm which guarantees robustness
75	
75	
75	
76	

Contents

Bibliography	158
3.2.2 Robust property of the controlled system for the stability problem	
3.2.3 Robust property of the controlled system for the tracking problem	
3.2.4 Simulation example	
3.3.1 Analysis	
3.3.2 Simulation example	
3.4 Residual uncertainty	
3.4.1 Estimation of residual uncertainty	
3.4.2 Exact cancellation of residual uncertainty	
3.4.3 Simulation example	
3.5 Output control	
3.5.1 Preliminaries	
3.5.2 Estimation of disturbance by output measurement	
3.5.3 Estimation with measurement noise	
3.6 Estimation of the parameters generating	
3.6.1 Constant parametric uncertainty without external disturbance systems	
3.6.2 Constant parametric uncertainty in the presence of periodic external disturbance	
3.6.3 Constant parametric uncertainty in the presence of constant and periodic disturbance	
3.6.4 Simulation example	
3.7 Conclusions	
4 Conclusions and further research	
4.1 Concluding remarks	
4.2 Recommendations for further work	
157	158

In addition, numerical simulations are presented to demonstrate the methods developed.

6. The parameter variations for the nominal model can be estimated from the estimated disturbance under certain assumptions (see Section 3.6).

5. For both the stabilization and tracking problems, the system to be controlled is robust against parameter uncertainty, input uncertainty, undisturbed dynamics, external disturbance and/or sensor noise using the modelled dynamics, external disturbance and/or sensor noise using the disturbance estimation/cancellation method (see Theorem 7, 8, and Section 3.5.3).

4. Estimation and cancellation of unknown bounded disturbance/uncertainty can, also, be achieved by using only output measurement, even in the presence of sensor noise (see Section 3.5).

3. Using the disturbance estimation method, a tracking controller can be designed with respect to the nominal model (see Theorem 7).

2. Estimation and cancellation can be achieved, even in the presence of residual uncertainty/disturbance under appropriate conditions (see Section 3.4).

1. For both single-input and multi-input systems, estimation and cancellation knowledge of bounded disturbance/uncertainty can be performed without *a priori* knowledge of bounded disturbance/uncertainty (see Theorem 3, 4, 5, and related remarks).

As it is shown in previous chapters, in this study, the following topics are investigated.

4.1 Concluding remarks

Conclusions and further research

Chapter 4

The author believes that theory is enhanced as a result of interaction with various applications. Although the primary motivation of this study comes from one specific area of application and the assumptions developed are constructed so that these assumptions are realistic in the specific practical situation, it is uncertain what kind of problems exist when the theory is implemented for applications. The author believes that almost exact estimation of such uncertainty can be achieved but perfect estimation of such uncertainty is not possible. Thus, to make clear and resolve such problems, it is recommended that the methods can be achieved but achieves that almost exact estimation of such uncertainty can be implemented in a number of applications.

2. Treatment of the case where there are input constraints.
1. Implementation of the methods in some practical application.

Topics which are not studied, but are suggested for further work, are listed as follows.

4.2 Recommendations for further work

- [1] A. Ilchmann and E. P. Ryan, Universal Λ -Tracking for Nonlinearly-Perturbed Systems in the Presence of Noise, *Automatica*, vol. 30, 1994, pp.337-346.
- [2] B. Mårtensson, The order of any stabilizing regulator is sufficient a priori information for adaptive stabilization, *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 6, 1985, pp.87-91.
- [3] B. R. Barnes and G. Leitmann, On Ultimate Boundeness Control of Uncertain Systems in the Absence of Matching Assumptions, *IEEE Transactions On Automatic Control*, vol. AC-27, 1982, pp.153-158.
- [4] C. K. Lee, Theory of Laminate Piezoelectric Plates for the design of distributed sensors/actuators. Part I: Governing equations and reciprocal relationships, *Journal of Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 87, 1990, pp.1144-1158.
- [5] D. E. Miller and E. J. Davison, An Adaptive Controller Which Provides Lyaپunov Stability, *IEEE Transactions On Automatic Control*, vol. 34, 1989, pp.599-609.
- [6] D. E. Miller and E. J. Davison, An Adaptive Controller Which Provides Arbitrarily Good Transient and Steady-State Response, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 36, 1991, pp.68-81.
- [7] D. G. Luenberger, Canonical Forms for Linear Multivariable Systems, *IEEE Transactions On Automatic Control*, vol. AC-12, 1967, pp.290-293.
- [8] G. Feng, New robust model reference adaptive control algorithm, *IEE Proceedings - Control Theory and Applications*, vol. 141, 1994, pp.177-180.
- [9] G. Leitmann, On the Efficacy of Nonlinear Control in Uncertain Linear Systems, *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, vol. 102, 1981, pp.95-102.
- [10] H. S. Tzou and C. I. Tseng, Distributed Modal Identification and Vibration Control of Continua: Piezoelectric Finite Element Formulation and Analysis, *Transactions of ASME*, vol. 113, 1991, pp.500-505.
- [11] I. Mareels, A simple selftuning controller for stable invertible systems, *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 4, 1984, pp.5-16.
- [12] K. Ogata, *Modern Control Engineering*, Prentice-Hall International, 1997.

Bibliography

- [14] L. Mirsky, *An Introduction To Linear Algebra*, Oxford University Press, 1955.
- [15] M. Corless, Simple Adaptive Controllers for Systems which are Stabilizable via High Gain Feedback, IMA Journal of Mathematical Control 1991, pp.379-387.
- [16] M. Corless and G. Leitmann, Continuous State Feedback Guaranteeing Uniform Ultimate Boundeness for Uncertain Dynamic Systems, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. AC-26, 1981, pp.1139-1144.
- [17] M. Corless and G. Leitmann, Adaptive Control of Systems Containing Uncertain Functions and Unknown Functions with Uncertain Bounds, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 41, 1983, pp.155-168.
- [18] M. Fu and R. Barish, Adaptive Stabilization of Linear Systems Via Switching Control, IEEE Transactions On Automatic Control, vol. AC-31, 1986, pp.1097-1103.
- [19] M. Nakao, K. Ohnishi, and K. Miyachi, A Robust Decentralized Joint Control Based on Interference Estimation, Proceedings of IEEE International Conference of Robotics and Automation, 1987 pp.326-331.
- [20] O. C. Zienkiewicz and R. L. Taylor, *Finite Element Method - The Basis*, Butterworth Heinemann, 2000.
- [21] R. E. Kalman and J. E. Bertram, Control System Analysis and Design Via the "Second Method" of Lyapunov, I Continuous-Time Systems, Journal of Basic Engineering, vol. 82, 1960, pp.371-393.
- [22] Robert M. Jones, Mechanics of Composite Materials, Taylor and Francis, 1999.
- [23] S. Gutman, Uncertain Dynamical Systems - A Lyapunov Min-Max Approach, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. AC-24, 1979, pp.437-443.
- [24] S. Gutman and Z. Palmor, Properties of Min-Max Controllers in Uncertain Dynamical Systems, SIAM Journal of Control and Optimization, vol. 20, 1982, pp.850-861.
- [25] S. Komada, K. Ohnishi, and T. Hori, Hybrid Position/Force Control of Robot Manipulators Based on Acceleration Controller, Proceedings of the 1991 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation SACRA, Sacramento, California, April 1991, pp.48-55.
- [26] S. L. Shah, D. G. Fisher, and D. E. Seborg, Eigenvaue/Eigenvector Assignment For Multivariable Systems And Further Results For Output Feedback Control, Electronics Letters, vol. 11, 1975, pp.388-389.

- [27] S. N. Singh, Adaptive Model Following Control of Nonlinear Robotie Sys-
tems, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. AC-30, 1985, pp. 1099-
1100.
- [28] S. Sriinathkumar, and R. P. Rothen, Eigenvaue/Eigenvector Assignment
For Multivariable Systems, Electronics Letters, vol. 11, 1975, pp. 124-125.
- [29] Shahkar Sastri, Nonlinear Systems Analysis, Stability, and Control,
Springer-Verlag New York, 1999.
- [30] Vitor-Emil Neagoie, Inversion of the Vandermonde Matrix, IEEE Signal
Processing Letters, vol. 3, 1996, pp. 119-120.
- [31] W. H. Press, B. P. Flannery, S. A. Teukolsky, and W. T. Vetterling, Nu-
mericaL Recipes in C, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [32] W. Yim, and S. N. Singh, Adaptive Output Feedback Control of a
Cantilever Beam Using a Piezoelectric Actuator, Journal of Vibraion and
Control, vol. 9, 2003, pp. 567-581.
- [33] Walter Gautschi, On inverses of Vandermonde and confluent Vandermonde
matrices, Numerische Mathematik, vol. 4, 1962, pp. 117-123.
- [34] Walter Gautschi, Norm Estimates for Inverses of Vandermonde Matrices,
Numerische Mathematik, vol. 23, 1975, pp. 337-347.
- [35] X. Chen, and Chun-Yi Su, Robust output tracking control for the systems
with uncertainties, International Journal of Systems Science, vol. 33, 2002,
pp. 247-257.
- [36] X. Chen, S. Kondo, and T. Fukuda, Design of a Nonlinear Distur-
bance Observer, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 47, 2000,
pp. 429-437.
- [37] Y. H. Chen and G. Leitmann, Robustness of uncertain systems in the
absence of matching assumptions, International Journal of Control, vol.
45, 1987, pp. 1527-1542.
- [38] Yu-Sheng Lu and Jian-Shiang Chen, Design of a Perturbation Estimator
Using the Theory of Variable-Structure Systems and Its Application to
Magnetic Levitation Systems, IEEE Transactions On Industrial Electron-
ics, vol. 42, 1995, pp. 281-289.